

Active is: Sharing insights

# The coronavirus has accelerated changes in energy supply and demand

by Christian McCormick , Paul Schofield | 23/06/2020   

## Summary

One of the immediate impacts of the coronavirus crisis was a fall in global energy use. While demand will likely rebound to previous levels, the overall energy mix is changing. Renewables are playing a bigger role as traditional sources such as coal become increasingly uneconomic. This trend was already in place when the pandemic hit, but is now proceeding at an accelerating pace.

### Key takeaways

- The coronavirus has forced governments and companies to prioritise the health and economic welfare of their people, so it's understandable that some environmental issues have been de-emphasised in recent months as companies fight for survival
- However, there is a compelling case that the pandemic has accelerated the move away from fossil fuels, and that investments in renewable energy will continue to grow
- The pandemic has drastically reduced energy demand – potentially as much as 6% lower for the year, which would be the largest percentage decrease since the second world war and the largest-ever drop in absolute terms
- The coronavirus could prove to be the tipping point in the demise of coal in particular: shrinking demand for energy could help renewable sources overtake coal in 2020 in terms of global power generation
- The effect of the coronavirus on oil is more mixed, but some of the largest oil and gas companies in the world have maintained their aggressive carbon reduction targets, creating a tailwind for investment themes centred on carbon reduction and climate transition

The coronavirus pandemic has had an immediate impact on energy demand, energy security and renewable energy investment overall, and it has also accelerated some longer-term trends that already existed. Namely, the investment in renewables capacity and related technologies seems poised to slow but not stop. Moreover, power generation and energy consumption from fossil fuels appears ready to decline even more quickly. These developments are clearly positive for the fight against climate change.

## The coronavirus has significantly slowed energy demand – for now

Much of the sudden impact of this virus on the energy sector is due to a reduction in demand. High unemployment, greater use of remote working arrangements, and social distancing immediately curtailed the amount of energy that society has been using. If social distancing continues in some respects and the overall economic recovery is slow, many experts believe demand will stay suppressed beyond 2020. It's even possible that some of these trends in demand reduction –


such as the shift to remote working – could be more structural, and perhaps even permanent.

Indeed, there have been significant changes in energy usage since the coronavirus outbreak first occurred in early 2020:

- The International Energy Agency (IEA) estimates that energy demand will plummet by 6% for the entire year, primarily driven by the second quarter (see chart). A 6% reduction would be the largest percentage decrease since World War II, and the largest-ever drop in absolute terms.
- Energy consumption in the form of electricity was down 2.5% in the first quarter and is predicted to be 5% lower for the year. This is eight times the size of the reduction seen during the 2008 financial crisis.
- Of the 5% decrease in electricity demand in 2020, the IEA estimates that renewable energy demand will increase by about 1%, while demand for coal, gas and nuclear energy will be down 8%, 5%, and 2% respectively.

### The coronavirus has caused a significant drop in global energy demand

Global energy demand



Source: IEA.com. Data as at 31 March 2020. Forecasts and estimates have certain inherent limitations and are not intended to be relied upon as advice or interpreted as recommendation.

This shift towards renewables as a percentage of the power mix is attributable to several factors:

- Demand has decreased as people stay home and businesses reduce their output, and renewables are much more cost-effective solutions for a low-demand world once the infrastructure is up and running. Solar systems, wind turbines, and hydroelectric power all cost less than USD 0.01 per kilowatt hour (kWh) to operate on average. In contrast, coal, gas, and nuclear power plants have operational costs ranging from USD 0.02 to USD 0.10 per kWh on average.
- It's also easier to quickly add or subtract power from natural gas driven turbines versus coal or nuclear, for example. So when natural gas is available, it tends to be used more than coal or nuclear power to fill any demand gaps and to ensure grid stability.

## The coronavirus is another key threat to thermal coal

Although electricity demand will probably rebound to normal levels, coal is fighting a multi-front battle to stay relevant, especially in the US and Europe. By some estimates, renewable energy may overtake coal in 2020 in terms of global power generation – in large part due to shrinking demand. Developed nations, apart from Japan, have already been significantly reducing their use of coal for electricity generation over the past decade (see table). Improving battery technology and capacity is increasing the attractiveness of renewables for peak power demand, and there's a continued transition to natural gas turbines due to lower costs.

Another important factor is that major financial institutions are pulling back from any meaningful business operations associated with thermal coal. This makes it difficult for coal-mining firms and coal-fired power plant operators to obtain financing and insurance, which in turn makes it difficult to conduct business. In fact, the six largest banks in the US and the six largest in Europe have all restricted lending to coal-mining operations, and 10 of the 12 have restricted lending to coal-fired power plants.

The story is different among emerging nations: China and India have been using less coal over time, but these are growing countries, and coal will likely be a significant part of their energy mix for the foreseeable future.

### US and EU are projected to use significantly less coal in 2020

YoY change in demand for coal 2020E	
China	-5%
US	-25%
EU	-20%
Japan	-10%

## The effect of the coronavirus on oil demand has been mixed

The coronavirus contributed to a clear decline in demand for oil during the first quarter – it was down more than 10%, a reduction of more than 10 million barrels per day of production – and we are on pace for a 20%-30% reduction in the second quarter. The lockdown policies were the clear and obvious driver here: passenger car miles driven, public transportation usage and air travel all plummeted, at least temporarily. Shipping and trucking also took a hit, but to a much smaller degree, since goods still need to be transported.

Like the thermal coal industry, the oil and gas industry was also experiencing headwinds before the coronavirus hit, with a greater number of global banks and insurers already restricting some financing and insurance aspects of oil and gas operations. However, this has been much more limited than the pushback on thermal coal. In the field of oil production, financial restrictions tend to be more targeted – for example, in the form of limited support for oil sand production or arctic drilling.

But overall, the effect of the coronavirus on oil demand is a mixed bag. We believe four major factors are at play:

- 1 The shift to remote working could **decrease** oil demand. Many office workers can stay connected to their employers with just a home internet connection – and employees who work from home don't commute to work or travel on business. Some will eventually return to the office, of course, but safety precautions and social distancing policies mean this might not happen for the rest of the year.
- 2 Safety concerns over public transportation could increase the use of private transportation such as cars and ride sharing, which could **increase** oil demand. Buses, subways and commuter trains are being used less, particularly in large cities such as New York, Tokyo and London.
- 3 A drop in leisure air travel could **decrease** oil demand. Air travel is not expected to return to pre-coronavirus levels until well into 2021, and perhaps longer. A combination of safety measures by airlines, travel restrictions at tourist destinations, quarantine policies and safety concerns will most likely keep a lid on this aspect of oil consumption.
- 4 Geopolitical tensions are leading countries to reduce their dependence on other nations for their energy supply, which could **decrease** demand for oil as countries look for alternative fuel sources and promote electric vehicles. Oil prices have not only plummeted, but they have unnerved the markets by clearly demonstrating how unpredictable they are. Although on the surface lower oil prices seem like a good thing for consuming nations, volatility in oil prices threatens the energy security of any nation and can cause meaningful harm to net oil exporters.

## What are the implications for investors?

The world is still in the early stages of fully understanding the economic and investment-related ramifications of the coronavirus pandemic – including climate-related policies and investments. Many governments and countries won't know how their climate change positions will be affected until they have more clarity on their economic and social situations. This is the main reason why COP26 – the largest climate change conference since the Paris Agreement talks in 2015 – has been postponed a full year, until November 2021.

Still, even at this early stage, the coronavirus does seem to have been beneficial for several key aspects of sustainable investing:

- Renewable energy sources have been on the rise, and companies will continue to invest in them. For example, corporate power purchase agreements for clean energy reached a record high in 2019 and have fallen off pace only slightly so far in 2020.
- France, Canada and a few other nations have tied some economic stimulus to green initiatives. This could result in rapid growth in green bond issuance, lower costs in electric vehicle battery technology and improvements in hydrogen fuel cell technology. Unfortunately, the US and China have so far missed an opportunity to combine economic stimulus and job creation with greater investment in renewable and clean energy infrastructure.
- Fossil fuels will likely continue to be in less demand overall, thanks to the declining use of thermal coal and oil for both power generation and transportation. We expect this trend to hurt energy companies that are not yet committed to decarbonisation. Investors should be wary of firms that are highly dependent on fossil fuel-driven revenue sources over the medium and long term.
- Some of the largest oil and gas companies in the world have maintained their aggressive carbon reduction targets. Although this (and lower oil prices) has hurt their business, it also demonstrates that there is a potential tailwind for investment themes centred on carbon reduction and climate transition.

1187221

## About the authors



Christian McCormick  
Senior Product Specialist  
New York, New York

Mr. McCormick, CFA, is senior inter-regional European product specialist and a director with Allianz Global Investors, which he joined in 2015. His is a senior product specialist for the firm's Best Styles strategies in the US and Canada; Mr. McCormick also has product-specialist responsibilities in the US for the firm's

Europe-based equity strategies. Mr. McCormick has a B.A. from Principia College.

[→ VIEW DETAILS](#)



**Paul Schofield**  
Senior Portfolio Manager, Global Growth Team  
London

Mr. Schofield is a Director and a Senior Portfolio Manager with Allianz Global Investors, which he joined in 1998. As a member of the Global Growth team, Paul is the Lead Portfolio Manager for the Global Sustainability Strategy.

[→ VIEW DETAILS](#)


## Want to view more?



**Coronavirus: impact and analysis**

China's return to growth should help equities and corporate credit in North Asia


[→ DISCOVER MORE](#)



**Coronavirus: impact and analysis**

Two ways credit can add relative value as the cycle turns

[→ DISCOVER MORE](#)



**Coronavirus: impact and analysis**

China is positioned to lead Asia's economic recovery from the coronavirus

[→ DISCOVER MORE](#)



### Related documents

[→ The coronavirus has accelerated changes in energy supply and demand](#)

Active is: Making complexity investable

# Two ways credit can add relative value as the cycle turns

by Georgios Georgiou | 30/07/2020   



## Summary

The risk/reward profile of corporate bonds is less attractive now than when the coronavirus crisis began. Since then, bonds from higher-rated firms have been buoyed by central bank support. As the credit cycle turns, we suggest prioritising both issuer and security selection to seek outperformance – potentially with fallen angels and secured bonds.

### Key takeaways

- The risk/reward balance in corporate credit remains attractive, but less so than in March and April
- Multi-sector and high-yield strategies focused on relatively undervalued assets have the potential to outperform, whether the credit rally continues or turns out to be fragile
- Healthy and improving debt dynamics are critically important for issuer selection
- As we move into a new stage of the credit cycle, security selection will be key to adding relative value to credit portfolios

→ [DOWNLOAD A FULL PDF](#)