

Central banks can make inequality worse

by [Stefan Hofrichter](#) | 28/09/2018  



Summary

Economic inequality has been steadily rising thanks to the rise of automation, declining unionisation and the growth of the financial sector. But loose monetary policy also plays a role. For decades, easy money has significantly increased wealth and income inequality on a structural level, fundamental changing how the global economy operates.

Key takeaways

- Economic inequality drags down economic growth, destabilises social systems and stresses government finances
- Central banks generally view inequality as something beyond their control – exacerbated by factors such as technological change and globalisation
- We believe monetary policy has made inequality worse by overstimulating prices of risk assets, increasing debt levels and disproportionately benefiting the financial sector
- Ultra-low rates have led to a misallocation of resources and increased the share of “zombie companies”

Steadily rising for decades, economic inequality has become a pressing global issue. In addition to its human cost, it drags down economic growth and destabilises social systems, and it stresses government spending and revenue streams.

Most academics and central banks attribute the spread of inequality to the rise of automation and the growth of the financial sector. While this is true, we believe decades of too-loose monetary policy have also played a major role, significantly increasing wealth and income inequality on a structural level.

The conventional explanations for rising inequality

Although inequality has increased worldwide since the early 1980s, both in developed and emerging countries, the trend in the United States is particularly striking: the Gini coefficient – a common statistical measure of inequality – is at its highest level since the 1930s.

Academics largely attribute this growing divergence to the rise of automation. With machines and new technologies taking over many manual and repetitive activities, the wages of low-skilled employees and the ranks of middle-income earners have fallen. Many academics also cite the declining unionisation of employees and the rise of the financial sector as additional reasons for increased income divergence.

Central banks, for their part, generally view inequality as something created by factors beyond the control of monetary policy – namely technological change and globalisation. They believe that if monetary policy is symmetrical – that is to say, if the stimulation and tightening phases of monetary policy are equally pronounced – then the positive and negative distributional effects should balance out over time.

Our view: asymmetrical monetary policy is making inequality worse

But it is precisely this assumption that must be called into question: we believe monetary policy has been asymmetrical for several decades. According to our estimates, monetary policy in the US and Europe has been somewhat too loose on average since the 1980s and below the estimated “neutral” value at which the economy is neither stimulated nor slowed down.

There are three primary ways in which too-loose monetary policy contributes to economic inequality:

1 Higher prices for risky assets

Structurally over-expansionary monetary policy stimulates prices of risky assets, as market participants discount stronger economic activity in the future. Indeed, equities have generated above-average returns worldwide since the mid-1980s. During this time, asset owners benefited from an unprecedented rise in asset prices and capital incomes – and wealth and income inequality has risen structurally.

2 Significant increase in debt


While low interest rates can certainly provide an initial boost to economic activity, they can also significantly raise debt levels. This has been the case in recent decades: relative to GDP, debt among companies and households has reached an all-time high of 150%. This has helped lower productivity and increase inequality because cheap financing lowers the profitability hurdle for investments: with ultra-low rates, even less-efficient investment projects become worthwhile. In recent years, this has led to a misallocation of resources and increased the share of “zombie companies” – firms with interest expenses that exceed their operating profits. This means less financing for younger, more productive companies – further dragging down economic growth and productivity, and worsening inequality.

3 Growth of the financial sector

The financial sector benefits from asymmetric monetary policy in several ways. First, it invests directly in those risk assets that are positively influenced by low rates – more financial assets than productive ones. Second, financial firms achieve above-average increases in commission and interest income in a favourable financial market environment. This has been the case in the US, where banks’ and insurance companies’ share of GDP has increased significantly since the mid-1980s. It has also held true since the financial crisis in Canada, Sweden, Australia and China. As the financial sector takes up a larger share of GDP, the relative compensation of its workers also increases – which by definition means the rest of the economy earns less.

Monetary policy has been too loose since the 1980s

US Federal Reserve interest rate relative to the neutral rate vs. the Chicago Fed’s National Financial Conditions Index.



Source: Thomson Reuters Datastream, AllianzGI Economics & Strategy. Data as at 13 August 2018.

The monetary framework matters for productivity, growth and equality

Our view of monetary policy in the debate over wealth and income distribution and productivity is very different from the mainstream view. We accept that monetary-policy distortions are not the only explanation for low productivity growth and rising inequality; other factors such as competition policy, banking regulation and tax policy are also relevant. However, the monetary framework can itself trigger negative effects on productivity, growth, and the distribution of wealth and income.

Further reading

For a more in-depth look at this topic, read [“How to repair economic inequality”](#) and [“To reduce inequality, focus on inclusive growth”](#).

Investing involves risk. The value of an investment and the income from it will fluctuate and investors may not get back the principal invested. Past performance is not indicative of future performance. This is a marketing communication. It is for informational purposes only. This document does not constitute investment advice or a recommendation to buy, sell or hold

any security and shall not be deemed an offer to sell or a solicitation of an offer to buy any security.


The views and opinions expressed herein, which are subject to change without notice, are those of the issuer or its affiliated companies at the time of publication. Certain data used are derived from various sources believed to be reliable, but the accuracy or completeness of the data is not guaranteed and no liability is assumed for any direct or consequential losses arising from their use. The duplication, publication, extraction or transmission of the contents, irrespective of the form, is not permitted.

This material has not been reviewed by any regulatory authorities. In mainland China, it is used only as supporting material to the offshore investment products offered by commercial banks under the Qualified Domestic Institutional Investors scheme pursuant to applicable rules and regulations.

This document is being distributed by the following Allianz Global Investors companies: Allianz Global Investors U.S. LLC, an investment adviser registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission; Allianz Global Investors Distributors LLC, distributor registered with FINRA, is affiliated with Allianz Global Investors U.S. LLC; Allianz Global Investors GmbH, an investment company in Germany, authorized by the German Bundesanstalt für Finanzdienstleistungsaufsicht (BaFin); Allianz Global Investors (Schweiz) AG, licensed by FINMA (www.finma.ch) for distribution and by OAKBV (Oberaufsichtskommission berufliche Vorsorge) for asset management related to occupational pensions in Switzerland; Allianz Global Investors Asia Pacific Ltd., licensed by the Hong Kong Securities and Futures Commission; Allianz Global Investors Singapore Ltd., regulated by the Monetary Authority of Singapore [Company Registration No. 199907169Z]; Allianz Global Investors Japan Co., Ltd., registered in Japan as a Financial Instruments Business Operator [Registered No. The Director of Kanto Local Finance Bureau (Financial Instruments Business Operator), No. 424, Member of Japan Investment Advisers Association and Investment Trust Association, Japan]; and Allianz Global Investors Taiwan Ltd., licensed by Financial Supervisory Commission in Taiwan.

618813

Want to view more?



Investment themes & strategy

Low rates are back, so where do you turn in fixed income?

→ [READ MORE](#)

by [Mona Mahajan](#) | 12/05/2019



Investment themes & strategy

Amid low returns from "safe" bonds, look to Asian risk assets

→ [READ MORE](#)

by [Christiaan Tuntoro](#) | 06/05/2019



Investment themes & strategy

ESG – "plus" for sustainability, "plus" for performance?

→ [READ MORE](#)

by [Hans-Jörg Naumer](#) | 25/09/2018



About the author





Stefan Hofrichter

Head of Global Economics & Strategy

Stefan Hofrichter is Head of Global Economics & Strategy at Allianz Global Investors. His research covers global economics as well as global and European asset allocation. Stefan joined the firm in 1996 as an equity portfolio manager and assumed his current role as an economist and strategist in 1998. Between 2004 and 2010, he also had responsibility for various retail and institutional mandates, including global and European traditional balanced funds, global multi-asset absolute return and multi-manager alpha-portfolioing funds. Stefan became a member of the firm's Global Policy Council in 2004. Stefan holds a degree in Economics from the University of Konstanz (1995) and in Business Administration from the University of Applied Sciences of the Deutsche Bundesbank, Hachenburg (1991). Stefan became a CFA Charterholder in 2000.

→ [VIEW DETAILS](#)

Will US yields break out of their range?

by [Mona Mahajan](#) | 09/10/2018  



Summary

After staying stuck in a narrow band for much of 2018, 10-year Treasury yields have only recently stayed above the 3.05% barrier for a prolonged time. This could be the beginning of a sell-off in Treasuries that pushes yields higher, though other factors are putting downward pressure on yields as well.

Key takeaways

- Investors are watching the 10-year Treasury to see if it can remain above 3.05% for an extended time
- Inflationary pressure, tariffs, shrinking liquidity and rising debt levels may support a breakout, but increased demand for income and shifts in consumption and globalisation may keep yields down
- The market may be underestimating how many times the Fed will raise rates in 2019 and 2020
- As shorter-term rates have moved up and longer-term rates appear range-bound, the yield curve has flattened

[↓ LOAD MORE](#)

Active is: [Allianz Global Investors](#)

Value. Shared.

Our firm

[Why partner with us](#)
[Leaders of our firm](#)
[A brief history](#)
[Press centre](#)
[Contact us](#)
[Careers](#)
[ESG approach](#)

Insights

[Staying active](#)
[2019 Outlook](#)
[Investment Forum](#)
[Outlook & commentary](#)
[Investment themes & strategy](#)
[View from the Global Strategist](#)
[Update Magazine](#)
[Why active?](#)

Capabilities

[Alternatives](#)
[Equity](#)
[Fixed income](#)
[Multi asset](#)
[Research](#)
[Our experts](#)
[Our products](#)



